

EVENT REPORT FORM¹

Project title	Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries
Project acronym	NatRisk
Project reference number	573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Coordinator	University of Nis
Project start date	October 15, 2016
Project duration	36 months

Event	Study visits and analysis of courses best practices in EU countries
Type of event	Study visit
Venue	Óbuda University (OE), Bánki Donát Faculty of Mechanical and Safety Engineering (BGK), Népszínház str. 8, Budapest, Hungary
Date	23 May 2017
Organizer	OE, Budapest, Hungary
Reporting date	23 May 2017
Report author(s)	Ágota Drégelyi-Kiss

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This form has to be filled by event organisers and sent on e-mail address: natriskuni@gmail.com five days after event. The term event relates to the meetings, workshops, exhibitions, conferences, etc....

EVENT DESCRIPTION

with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	26
Participants (organisations)	WB partners, OE
Event description:	
<p>This document reports the Study visit and analysis of courses best practices in EU countries of the Erasmus+ Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education project „Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries“ (NatRisk), held at the Óbuda University (OE) on 23 May 2017. The meeting was chaired by Dr. Ágota Drégelyi-Kiss, vice-dean for research, OE Bánki Donát Faculty of Mechanical and Safety Engineering (BGK). The objective was to introduce to all WB project partners the most relevant issues related to the disaster management and Application of UAVs in case of natural disasters.</p> <p>Twenty six representatives from 8 partner institutions were present at the meeting (23 out of 26 were from WB partner HEIs – UNI, UNSA, VSUP, UNID, KPA, UPKM, TCASU). After the participants’ registration, which started at 10:00, Dr. habil. József Tick, vice-rector for regional development, Óbuda University, gave a welcome speech and introduced the Óbuda University, thereby highlighting university mission, historical development, OE structure and study programs, internationalization and significance of mobility. Dr. Zoltán Rajnai, dean, OE Bánki Donát Faculty of Mechanical and Safety Engineering (OE BGK), thanked all WB partners for their participation at this study visit and two-days training and gave an introduction into BGK best practices and study programmes.</p> <p>Dr. Rudolf Nagy, assistant professor OE BGK, presented the topic about disaster management and the role of the army. He highlighted the importance of development training for citizens and managers in local municipalities as well as education of pupils and students in the field of natural disaster risk management. He also talked about how to react in emergency situations. WB partners asked about organizational structure in the field of disaster management in Hungary and how to improve involvement of citizens into educational process in this field. Arnold Ószi, assistant professor, OE BGK, presented application of UAVs (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) in case of natural disasters. As a laboratory work, he showed drone working.</p> <p>The study visit finished at 16:00.</p>	

Attachments

Agenda (pdf)	OE study visit - agenda
Attendance sheet (pdf)	OE study visit - participation list
Photos (jpg)	
News form (pdf)	06 Study visit and training of teaching staff in Budapest - news
Deliverable (pdf)	OE - Study visit report
Presentations (pdf)	01 Obuda University - József Tick 02 OE BGK - Zoltán Rajnai 03 Disaster management - The role of the army - Rudolf Nagy 04 Application of UAVs in case of natural disasters - Arnold Ószi
Other personal remarks	

Organisation details

Invitation sent to	25 participants
Date of event material release	23 May 2017
Date of participants list's finalisation	23 May 2017
Date of agenda finalisation	10 May 2017
Number of participants (according to the participants list)	23
Comments	

Problems encountered during the event preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any:

Strengths and limitations of the event (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Good interaction and experience exchange between participants ➤ Presentations were very useful ➤ Presented topics were of great importance for the further development of training material for citizens and public sector ➤ Friendly atmosphere coupled with useful discussion
Suggestions for the improvement	
Any further comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The organisation was at the highest level

Evaluation details


Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event

Description
The general opinion is that the study visit was excellent organised.
Table(s)/Figure(s)

The general organisation of the study visit in percentage is presented in the following table:

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Logistic preparation and organization of meeting	0	0	0	16.7	83.3
Content of the Agenda	0	0	0	12.5	87.5
Arrangements of the meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	0	0	4.2	12.5	83.3

The general organisation of the study visit




Results of evaluation of general working communication

Description					
The quality of presentations and prepared agenda and material were evaluated with high marks.					
Table(s)/Figure(s)					
The general working communication in percentage is presented in the following table:					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Communication before the meeting	0	0	4.2	25.0	70.8
Duration and timetable of the meeting	0	0	0	8.3	91.7

Quality of materials provided during the meeting	0	0	4.2	16.7	79.2
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	0	0	4.2	29.2	66.6
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	0	0	0	16.7	83.3

General working communication



Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

Description


The overall success of the study visit was graduated as excellent.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

The overall success of the meeting in percentage is presented in the following table:

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	0	0	4.2	16.7	79.2
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	0	0	0	12.5	87.5
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	0	0	4.2	12.5	83.3
Assignment of follow-up tasks	0	0	4.2	12.5	83.3

Overall success of the visit



Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:

Location, date

Budapest, 23 May 2017

Signature

Ágota Drégelyi-Kiss